A Framework for the Medical Profession

Most practicing physicians took some version of the Hippocratic Oath upon graduation from medical school. How relevant is that oath to the practice of medicine today? What are the basic tenets of ethics in medicine? Is there an established framework on which to build an ethical practice?

Chapter in Brief:

▲ Although some statements in the original Hippocratic Oath and even the 1964 revision are outdated, ethicists believe that many of the principles and ideas have enduring relevance to physicians and patients today.

▲ Many physician organizations have begun to look anew at medical professionalism in recent years, in the context of all of the outside forces—business, economic, societal, and even geopolitical—that affect or threaten the values associated with the medical profession.

▲ Results of a recent study by U.S. and Australian researchers found that the vast majority—fully 96 percent—of physicians surveyed said that they believe physicians should report an incompetent or impaired colleague through appropriate channels; yet only 45 percent of respondents indicated that they always take such action.

The oath attributed to Hippocrates in the Fourth Century BC has served for most of the past two millenniums as the basic guide for ethical conduct and a covenant for medical professionalism. Few medical schools still use the original classical version of the oath; but certain of its themes are constant,
including the commitment to patient primacy and privacy and the application and sharing of medical knowledge.

A study by ethicist Robert Orr, MD, and colleagues published in the *Journal of Clinical Ethics* in 1997 examined use of oaths in 157 U.S and Canadian medical schools. The authors’ findings were interesting and at times strikingly contradictory. For example, 98 percent of schools administered some form of the oath in 1993 compared with only 26 percent in 1928. At the time the study was conducted, all oaths in use included a commitment to patients, but only 11 percent invoked a deity. In other findings:

- Less than half (43 percent) of oaths in use included a vow that physicians be accountable for their actions.
- Only 14 percent included a prohibition against euthanasia (or an act that could be construed as such).
- Approximately 8 percent included a prohibition against abortion.
- Three percent explicitly prohibited sexual contact between physicians and their patients.

Regardless of how they are structured or the maxims they propose, most oaths in use today share an interesting characteristic: They include no mechanism for monitoring adherence or holding the oath-taking physician accountable for keeping the pledge. That omission, in concert with economic and regulatory realities of modern medical practice, has prompted some physicians to press for either substantial modifications to or abandonment of the oath. In some circles, the Hippocratic Oath is even jokingly referred to as the “hypocritic oath” because the classical version is so incongruous with practice conditions in the 21st Century.

Physicians may find the original oath’s maxims out of sync with their day-to-day lives and only marginally useful in guiding relationships with colleagues; yet certain passages concerning patients stand the test of time, maintains Faith T. Fitzgerald, MD, assistant dean of humanities and bioethics at the University of California-Davis Health System in Sacramento.

“I took the Hippocratic Oath, and I have found in retrospect that it gets you off a lot of terrible situations—euthanasia plus or minus, for example,” she says. “There are some circumstances in which you very much want to end [patients’] suffering. But
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Table 1: Incidence of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled, Migraine Trials Where Rate Was ≥ 2% in Any Topiramate Group and Greater than the Rate in Placebo-Treated Patients.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body System</th>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>Placebo-Controlled, Migraine Trials (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>Hypoaesthesia</td>
<td>5, 7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central &amp; Peripheral Nervous System</td>
<td>Blurred vision</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye, Ear</td>
<td>Nearsiness (5%)</td>
<td>7, 8, 9, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI System</td>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adverse Event follow up by full recovery after 3 to 4 days.

Table 2: Incidence (%) of Dose-Related Adverse Events From Placebo-Controlled, Migraine Trials.b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Body System</th>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>Placebo-Controlled, Migraine Trials (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>Hypoaesthesia</td>
<td>5, 7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Eye, Ear</td>
<td>Nearsiness (5%)</td>
<td>7, 8, 9, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>GI System</td>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adverse Event follow up by full recovery after 3 to 4 days.

Other Adverse Events Observed During Migraine Clinical Trials: Topiramate, for the treatment of prophylaxis of migraine headache, has been administered to 1,367 patients in all clinical studies (includes double-blind and open-label extension). During these studies, all adverse events were recorded by the clinical investigators using terminology of their own choosing. To provide a meaningful estimate of the proportion of individuals having adverse events, similar types of events were grouped into a smaller number of standardized categories using modified WHOART dictionary terminology. The following additional adverse events that were not described earlier were reported by greater than 1% of the 1,367 topiramate-treated patients in the controlled clinical trials: Body as a Whole: Pain, chest pain, allergic reaction. Central & Peripheral Nervous System Disorders: Headache, vertigo, tremor, sensory disturbance, migraine aggravated. Gastrointestinal System Disorders: Constipation, gastroesophageal reflex, tooth disorder. Musculoskeletal System Disorders: Myalgia. Platelet, Bleeding, and Clotting Disorders: Epistaxis. Reproductive Disorders, Female: Intermenstrual bleeding. Resistance Mechanism Disorders: Infection, genital moniliasis. Respiratory System Disorders: Pneumonia, asthma. Skin and Appendages Disorders: Rash, alopecia. Vision Disorders: Abnormal accommodation, eye pain. Postmarketing and Other Experience: In addition to the adverse experiences reported during clinical testing of TOPAMAX®, the following adverse experiences have been reported worldwide in patients receiving TOPAMAX® post-approval. These adverse experiences have not been listed above and data are insufficient to support an estimate of their incidence or to establish causation. The listing is alphabetized: bullous skin reactions (including erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis), hepatic failure (including fatalities), hepatitis, maculopathy, pancreatitis, pemphigus, and renal tubular acidosis.

DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE: The abuse and dependence potential of TOPAMAX® has not been evaluated in human studies.

OVERTOXICITY

Overdoses of TOPAMAX® have been reported. Signs and symptoms included convulsions, drowsiness, speech disturbance, blurred vision, diplopia, mentation impaired, lethargy, abnormal coordination, stupor, hypotension, abdominal pain, agitation, dizziness and depression. The clinical consequences were not severe in most cases, but deaths have been reported after poly-drug overdoses involving TOPAMAX®.

Topiramate overdose has resulted in severe metabolic acidosis (see WARNINGS).

A patient who ingested a dose between 96 and 110 g topiramate was admitted to hospital with coma lasting 20-24 hours followed by full recovery after 3 to 4 days.

In acute TOPAMAX® overdose, if the ingestion is recent, the stomach should be emptied immediately by lavage or by induction of emesis. Activated charcoal has been shown to adsorb topiramate in vitro. Treatment should be appropriately supportive. Hemodialysis is an effective means of removing topiramate from the body.
having taken an oath, it was a fundamental promise I made as a physician—and the keeping of promises is what it’s all about.”

Dr. Fitzgerald’s view is this: The moral and ethical terrain physicians find themselves in is dauntingly expansive and often tricky to navigate, but they can find their way through challenging situations if they place the patient’s interests first and always above their own. “It really means conducting themselves in such a way as to keep the patient’s trust, because maintaining that trust cuts right to the heart of things—and it takes care of a lot of other issues along the way,” says Dr. Fitzgerald, a renowned educator and ethicist who frequently speaks on physician responsibility to patients and to the profession.

Trust in Doctors

While consumers have become proactive about researching their own health conditions, engaging in self-management, and at times questioning their physician’s diagnosis or medical advice, Dr. Fitzgerald explains, most patients and society in general still place tremendous trust in doctors. This is illustrated, Dr. Fitzgerald says, when a mother hands her sick infant “to a white-coated pediatrician she has never met...That’s really the degree of trust people have—and it’s an awesome, irreducible trust.” In exchange, she notes, patients and their families expect physicians to maintain that trust and to embody high standards of conduct.

Maintaining that trust involves individual responsibility and role responsibility, Dr. Fitzgerald explains, in the face of time constraints and myriad mounting demands from all quarters—patients, insurers, and regulatory entities, to name a few.

“Individual responsibility means keeping your promises that you will be there when necessary, that you will be honest with them and tell the truth, and that you will do everything you can to get the patient in the place where he or she wants to be,” Dr. Fitzgerald says.

Role responsibility entails not only maintaining the high moral standards the medical profession vows to uphold, but also acting as needed to stop physicians who don’t behave ethically.

“If other doctors are eroding the trust, it’s your responsibility to stop them from doing that,” Dr. Fitzgerald says. “It’s a big
problem, because people never say ‘that doctor,’ they say ‘those doctors.’ Every time one of them misbehaves, it taints all of us and erodes the trust that allows us to be doctors.”

Keith Brownsberger, MD, an Anchorage, Alaska, infectious disease specialist, has been in practice nearly 40 years and has served on the Alaska state medical board for nine of those years. He says that patients and the general public expect physicians to uphold certain ethical standards. Based on his longtime experience with the disciplinary board, Dr. Brownsberger says those expectations have not changed markedly—despite the sometimes negative portrayal of the medical profession’s ethics on television and in movies. This is a message he thinks younger physicians especially need to hear.

“I think the public still holds physicians to a high standard, and many young doctors will learn the hard way that the general public doesn’t view them as TV doctors,” Dr. Brownsberger says. “Some may think they can test the waters and get away with things—but they may find themselves before the state medical board.”

**Deep Ethical Roots**

Sarasota, Fla., internist Frederick Turton, MD, former chair of the American College of Physicians ethics committee, recently revisited both the classical and oft-cited “modern” (1964) version of the oath to see how they hold up now. “I swore to the modern one when I was in medical school, but I was surprised
The Hippocratic Oath, Classical Version: Archaic but Applicable?

Some sections of the original, classical version of the Hippocratic Oath, which dates to the Fourth Century BC, come across as impossibly archaic today. Certain age-old basics, however—especially regarding patient primacy and privacy, and physician-patient relations—hold up well in modernity, many medical ethicists contend.

I swear by Apollo Physician and Asclepius and Hygieia and Panacea and all the gods and goddesses, making them my witnesses, that I will fulfill according to my ability and judgment this oath and this covenant:

To hold him who has taught me this art as equal to my parents and to live my life in partnership with him, and if he is in need of money to give him a share of mine, and to regard his offspring as equal to my brothers in male lineage and to teach them this art—if they desire to learn it—without fee and covenant; to give a share of precepts and oral instruction and all the other learning to my sons and to the sons of him who has instructed me and to pupils who have signed the covenant and have taken an oath according to the medical law, but no one else.

I will apply dietetic measures for the benefit of the sick according to my ability and judgment; I will keep them from harm and injustice.

I will neither give a deadly drug to anybody who asked for it, nor will I make a suggestion to this effect. Similarly I will not give to a woman an abortive remedy. In purity and holiness I will guard my life and my art.

I will not use the knife, not even on sufferers from stone, but will withdraw in favor of such men as are engaged in this work.

Whatever houses I may visit, I will come for the benefit of the sick, remaining free of all intentional injustice, of all mischief and in particular of sexual relations with both female and male persons, be they free or slaves.

What I may see or hear in the course of the treatment or even outside of the treatment in regard to the life of men, which on no account one must spread abroad, I will keep to myself, holding such things shameful to be spoken about.

If I fulfill this oath and do not violate it, may it be granted to me to enjoy life and art, being honored with fame among all men for all time to come; if I transgress it and swear falsely, may the opposite of all this be my lot.
to see how well the [original] Hippocratic Oath covers the important issues,” Dr. Turton says. He is also impressed that “social justice” was mentioned so early in the history of medicine. “Both versions actually cover the four principles of bioethics that we rely on a lot—beneficence, non-malfeasance, patient autonomy, and social justice—well.”

However, even in the modern version, there are inherent discrepancies and incongruence with the current practice environment, Dr. Turton points out. “The classical version contains no reference to conflicts of interest or the payment system,” he says. The modern version’s requirement that physicians avoid “the twin traps of overtreatment and therapeutic nihilism” is both especially pertinent and problematic now, he added.

“So much of physicians’ behavior [today] is generated by fear of malpractice, and litigation is a cloud that follows us wherever we go,” Dr. Turton says. “And it’s dealt with by over-ordering, over-testing, and over-treating, often just to cover the doctor.” That in turn may violate the ethics principle of non-malfeasance, he continues, in that “everything we do has a potential cost to the patient—a financial one, a risk [of injury], or even a risk of misinformation.” And if physicians order tests that are likely unnecessary, primarily for their own protection and not the patients’ purposes, that counters the principle of patient-centeredness, he says. Dr. Turton acknowledges these issues are complicated ones with a lot of “gray areas.”

The modern oath contains sections that many ethicists think are as meaningful now as they were when it was written in 1964, even if the healthcare delivery system presents some different challenges. Dr. Turton points to two particular passages—“above all, I must not play God” and “I must remember that I do not treat a fever [or] a cancerous growth … but a sick human being, whose illness may affect the person’s family and economic stability.”

“It gets down to patient autonomy and the need for truth-telling and transparency,” Dr. Turton says. The latter passage is a reminder of physicians’ collective responsibility to take care of everyone, including the uninsured, in an equitable manner that supports human dignity. That ethical requirement is challenged today, Dr. Turton acknowledges, by conflicts that arise when a
Hippocratic Oath, Modern Version: Is Moral Message Meaningful?

Crafted in 1964 by Louis Lasagna, MD, then academic dean of the School of Medicine at Tufts University in Massachusetts, the modern version of the original Hippocratic Oath is still uttered by many U.S. medical school students upon their graduation. Increasingly, however, institutions are modifying the oath. Here is Dr. Lasagna's version:

- I swear to fulfill, to the best of my ability and judgment, this covenant: I will respect the hard-won scientific gains of those physicians in whose steps I walk, and gladly share such knowledge as is mine with those who are to follow.

- I will apply, for the benefit of the sick, all measures which are required, avoiding those twin traps of overtreatment and therapeutic nihilism.

- I will remember that there is art to medicine as well as science, and that warmth, sympathy, and understanding may outweigh the surgeon’s knife or the chemist’s drug.

- I will not be ashamed to say "I know not," nor will I fail to call in my colleagues when the skills of another are needed for a patient’s recovery.

- I will respect the privacy of my patients, for their problems are not disclosed to me that the world may know. Most especially must I tread with care in matters of life and death. If it is given me to save a life, all thanks. But it may also be within my power to take a life; this awesome responsibility must be faced with great humbleness and awareness of my own frailty. Above all, I must not play at God.

- I will remember that I do not treat a fever chart, a cancerous growth, but a sick human being, whose illness may affect the person’s family and economic stability. My responsibility includes these related problems, if I am to care adequately for the sick.

- I will prevent disease whenever I can, for prevention is preferable to cure.

- I will remember that I remain a member of society, with special obligations to all my fellow human beings, those sound of mind and body as well as the infirm.

- If I do not violate this oath, may I enjoy life and art, respected while I live and remembered with affection thereafter. May I always act so as to preserve the finest traditions of my calling and may I long experience the joy of healing those who seek my help.
current patient loses insurance and health plan contracts dictate how physicians interact with patients regarding copayments and billing, and reimbursement remains poor for primary care.

“I think that this is on everyone’s mind now, and it doesn’t matter where you are. If your patient becomes uninsured and can’t afford you, the physician has to make some very basic decisions,” Dr. Turton says, “and there’s also the question of whose responsibility it is to care for the uninsured.” There is a sense that physicians have assumed a disproportionate burden for caring for uninsured to physicians’ own economic detriment, in essence “letting the government off the hook” for what is a societal issue.

Medical Professionalism Under Challenge

Perhaps the most timely ethical area is medical professionalism, which is the basis for physicians’ contract with society. Many physician organizations have begun to look anew at the issue in recent years, in the context of all of the outside forces—business, economic, societal, and even geopolitical—that affect or even threaten the values of professionalism today.

Changing market conditions, constant shifts in the healthcare delivery system, and the proliferation of technology are chief among those forces, but physicians are also experiencing ethical challenges because of issues such as terrorism and globalization.

The American Medical Association (AMA) and the American College of Physicians (ACP) are among the groups that have modified policies, position statements, and guidance in recent years to reflect those challenges to medical professionalism. ACP has been very proactive in this regard, as its members cite increasing difficulties maneuvering in what some physicians view as an ethical minefield today, according to Lois Snyder, JD, director of the ACP’s Center for Ethics & Professionalism.

“As a general theme, we’re looking at the issues that appear to challenge physicians’ focus on the patient now—from external pressures to incentive programs, to technology that may actually interfere with the physician-patient relationship,” Ms. Snyder observed.

Certain issues have been pressing enough to warrant modifications to ACP’s ethics manual. In other cases, the organization
has responded to emerging ethical challenges (such as physician involvement in detainee interrogation activities in military settings) by drafting targeted position statements.

To both recognize and combat current market and other forces that threaten physicians’ sense of and views on medical professionalism, three leading organizations—the American Board of Internal Medicine, the ACP Foundation, and the European Federation of Internal Medicine launched the Medical Professionalism Project in 2002. The participants proposed the following principles as the basis for renewed professionalism:

**Principle of primacy of patient welfare.** This principle is based on a dedication to serving the interest of the patient. Altruism contributes to the trust that is central to the physician-patient relationship. Market forces, societal pressures, and administrative exigencies must not compromise this principle.

**Principle of patient autonomy.** Physicians must have respect for patient autonomy. Physicians must be honest with their patients and empower them to make informed decisions about their treatment. Patients’ decisions about their care must be paramount as long as those decisions are in keeping with ethical practice and do not lead to demands for inappropriate care.

**Principle of social justice.** The medical profession must promote justice in the healthcare system, including the fair distribution of healthcare resources. Physicians should work actively to eliminate discrimination in healthcare, whether based on race, gender, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, religion, or any other social category.

For complete text and details on accompanying professional responsibilities and commitments, go to [www.abimfoundation.org/professionalism/charter.shtm](http://www.abimfoundation.org/professionalism/charter.shtm).

Harvard Medical School researcher Eric Campbell, PhD, who is an associate professor in the Institute for Health Policy at Massachusetts General Hospital, defines the concept of medical professionalism as “a set of normative attitudes and behaviors that people expect physicians to exhibit.

“It’s really that simple. But the important part is that we all expect this professional congruence—that doctors’ attitudes will match their behaviors,” Dr. Campbell explains, “and research shows that’s not the case.” In some situations, the discrepancy
may be due to a character issue, an ethical lapse, or a personality trait. In others, it may be outside influences—such as those Dr. Turton cites—that come between physicians and the values the profession collectively promises to uphold.

“For example, if I believe that doctors should provide care to people regardless of their ability to pay, some physicians may not be able to do that if they work for a managed care company,” Dr. Campbell says. He adds that even a cursory look at the generally accepted domains of professionalism, listed below, presents a compelling picture of the conflicts and challenges physicians may encounter daily:

- To distribute resources in a fair way
- To increase scientific knowledge
- To be honest with patients
- To improve access to care
- To improve quality of care
- To maintain professional competence
- To protect patient confidentiality
- To manage conflicts of interest
- To engage in self-regulation
- To maintain appropriate relationship with patients

“There are ethical issues in each and every one of these domains,” Dr. Campbell says, as well as widely differing opinions on the extent of physicians’ associated responsibilities. “We need a better understanding of professionalism and the issues, to understand the disconnect between attitudes and behavior.”

Often it is not a character issue that becomes the chief source of dissonance between expected norms and physicians’ behavior, but the environment in which they find themselves. “It might be characteristics of the hospital or even characteristics of the market that influence individuals differently. And when those exist, you have an immediate ethical issue,” Dr. Campbell observes. “Professionalism is more than the person—it’s about the person in a hospital, in a market, in a specialty—and each of these levels has a chance to promote some behaviors and attitudes and not promote others,” he says. “And it’s very complex.”